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#### Abstract

We investigate the feasibility of $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ infrared (IR) lines as Si abundance indicators for giant stars. We find that Si abundances obtained from the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines based on the local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) analysis show large line-to-line scatter (mean value of 0.13 dex ), and are higher than those from the optical lines. However, when non-LTE effects are taken into account, the line-to-line scatter reduces significantly (mean value of 0.06 dex), and the Si abundances are consistent with those from the optical lines. The typical average non-LTE correction of [ $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] for our sample stars is about -0.35 dex. Our results demonstrate that the Si I IR lines could be reliable abundance indicators, provided that the non-LTE effects are properly taken into account.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The chemical composition of stellar photospheres is a very important tool for investigating the origins of elements, as well as the chemical evolution of galaxies. During the past few decades, stellar abundances ${ }^{4}$ have mainly been determined from optical spectra due to both historical and technical reasons. Though visible light can easily penetrate the atmosphere of the Earth, it is subject to the obscuring of interstellar dust and gas. This makes it difficult to utilize optical spectra to investigate the chemical abundances of stars suffering from heavy interstellar extinction, such as stars in the inner Galactic disk and in the Galactic bulge. In this regard, infrared (IR) spectroscopy is more promising, as it is much less affected by interstellar extinction. The ongoing Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE; Majewski et al. 2015) is such an attempt to use high-resolution and high signal-to-noise ratio ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ) IR spectroscopy to penetrate the dust that obscures significant fractions of the disk and bulge of the Galaxy. Furthermore, IR spectroscopy could even be used to investigate the chemical abundances of stars beyond our Galaxy. Due to their large luminosities and peak fluxes in the IR, red supergiant (RSG) stars are ideal tracers of the chemical abundances of the external galaxies out to large distances (Patrick et al. 2015). This has been verified by chemical abundance analysis of RSG stars in the Magellanic Clouds (Davies et al. 2015), in NGC 6822 (Patrick et al. 2015), and in the Sculptor Galaxy (Gazak et al. 2015) using the mediumresolution ( $R \sim 3000-8000$ ) spectra in the $J$-band obtained by the X-shooter (Vernet et al. 2011) and $K$-band Multi-Object Spectrograph (KMOS; Sharples et al. 2013) mounted on the Very Large Telescope. Evans et al. (2011) showed by simulations that with future instruments, quantitative IR spectroscopy could even be performed for RSG stars to tens

[^0]of megaparsecs. Due to the aforementioned advantages, IR spectroscopy will play a more important role in chemical abundance analysis with existing and forthcoming IR instruments (such as Keck/MOSFIRE, VLT/KMOS, TMT/IRMS, E-ELT/EAGLE, etc.).

In addition to the advantages mentioned above, sometimes IR lines can be better abundance indicators than optical lines. This is the case for silicon ( Si ) in very metal-poor stars. Si is attributed as an $\alpha$-element, which are made during oxygen and neon burning in massive stars, and later ejected to the interstellar medium by SNe II, according to Woosley \& Weaver (1995). SNe Ia may also produce some Si , as suggested by Tsujimoto et al. (1995). Therefore, Si abundances in metalpoor stars could be used to test the SNe and Galactic chemical evolution models. Unfortunately, the optical Si i lines are very weak in very metal-poor stars, so the two strong lines at 3905 and 4102 A in the near-ultraviolet (NUV) are usually employed to derive Si abundances. However, both of these lines have defects in abundance determinations. The Sii $3905 \AA$ line is blended by a CH line. Though this CH feature may be weak in dwarf stars with relatively high temperatures (Cohen et al. 2004), it could be very strong in cool giant stars (Cayrel et al. 2004). The Si i $4102 \AA$ line falls in the wing of the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ line, which makes it uneasy to derive accurate Si abundances. In such a situation, the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines could be a better alternative to derive Si abundances. There are tens of Si I IR lines that are much stronger than the optical lines and they suffer much less from the problem of blending or continuum normalization compared to the 3905/4102 A lines. For example, Jönsson et al. (2011) determined Si abundances for 10 metal-poor giant stars using three Si i IR lines at 10371, 10844, and 10883 A. However, their analysis was performed under the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), as there was no calculation for the non-LTE effects of the Sii IR lines at that time. Later, Shi et al. (2012) investigated the non-LTE effects of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines in nearby stars (most of which were dwarf stars), and found that the non-LTE effects are important even for metal-rich stars ( $>0.1$ dex). Bergemann et al. (2013) presented theoretical calculations for the non-LTE effects of four $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines in the $J$-band for RSG stars. Their results show

Table 1
Sample Stars and Their Stellar Parameters

| Star | $\begin{aligned} & T_{\text {eff }} \\ & (\mathrm{K}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log g \\ & (\operatorname{cgs}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]} \\ (\mathrm{dex}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \xi \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | References | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{t} \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{g} \\ (\mathrm{cgs}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{m} \\ (\operatorname{dex}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{v} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | References/Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arcturus | 4281 | 1.72 | -0.55 | 1.5 | (a) | 20 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.25 | (a) |
| HD 83240 | 4682 | 2.45 | -0.02 | 1.3 | (b) | 84 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.03 | (b), (l) |
| $\mathrm{BD}+23^{\circ} 3130$ | 5000 | 2.20 | -2.60 | 1.4 | (c) | 71 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.28 | a |
| $\mathrm{BD}-16^{\circ} 251$ | 4825 | 1.50 | -2.91 | 1.8 | (d) | 71 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.28 | (d), (i) |
| BD $-18^{\circ} 5550$ | 4750 | 1.40 | -3.06 | 1.8 | (d) | 124 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | (d), (f) |
| HD 6268 | 4735 | 1.61 | -2.30 | 2.1 | (e) | 25 | 0.01 | 0.45 | 0.35 | (e), (f) |
| HD 13979 | 5075 | 1.90 | -2.26 | 1.3 | (f) | 71 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.28 | a |
| HD 108317 | 5310 | 2.77 | -2.35 | 1.9 | (g) | 100 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.46 | (c), (f), (g), (h), (i) |
| HD 115444 | 4721 | 1.74 | -2.71 | 2.0 | (h) | 32 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 0.32 | (e), (h), (i) |
| HD 121135 | 4934 | 1.91 | -1.37 | 1.6 | (h) | 6 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.28 | (f), (h) |
| HD 126587 | 4700 | 1.05 | -3.16 | 1.7 | (i) | 18 | 0.88 | 0.25 | 0.11 | (c), (i) |
| HD 166161 | 5350 | 2.56 | -1.22 | 2.3 | (h) | 100 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.18 | (f), (h), (i) |
| HD 186478 | 4730 | 1.50 | -2.42 | 1.8 | (i) | 76 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.10 | (d), (f), (h), (i) |
| HD 195636 | 5370 | 2.40 | -2.77 | 1.5 | (j) | 71 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.28 | a |
| HD 204543 | 4672 | 1.49 | -1.72 | 2.0 | (h) | 16 | 0.47 | 0.21 | 0.00 | (f), (h), (i) |
| HD 216143 | 4525 | 1.77 | -1.92 | 1.9 | (e) | 8 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.48 | (c), (e), (f), (g) |
| HD 221170 | 4560 | 1.37 | -2.00 | 1.6 | (e) | 74 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.52 | (c), (e), (f), (g), (h) |
| HE 1523-0901 | 4630 | 1.00 | -2.95 | 2.6 | (k) | 71 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.28 | a |

Notes. From left to right: Star ID, effective temperature, surface gravity, metallicity, microturbulent velocity, reference for stellar parameters, adopted uncertainty for effective temperature, surface gravity, metallicity, and microturbulent velocity, references or notes about the calculation of the uncertainty of stellar parameters. For stars with multiple independent measurements of stellar parameters in the references, the standard deviations between different studies were adopted as the uncertainties; for the other stars please see the note in the end of the table.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Only single independent measurement of stellar parameters that was available in the references; the median value of the errors of the 12 stars with multiple independent measurements of stellar parameters was adopted for the uncertainties.
References. (a) Takeda et al. (2009), (b) Mishenina et al. (2006), (c) Fulbright (2000), (d) Cayrel et al. (2004), (e) Saito et al. (2009), (f) Burris et al. (2000), (g) Takada-Hidai et al. (2005), (h) Simmerer et al. (2004), (i) Hansen \& Primas (2011), (j) Carney et al. (2003), (k) Frebel et al. (2007), (1) Da Silva et al. (2011).
that the non-LTE abundance correction varies smoothly between -0.4 and -0.1 dex for stars with effective temperatures between 3400 and 4400 K .
Considering that Shi et al. (2012) mainly concentrated on main-sequence stars, while Bergemann et al. (2013) focused on theoretical non-LTE effects, we decided to perform a practical investigation of the non-LTE effects of 16 SiI IR lines in giant stars based on observational spectra. In particular, the atomic data and model atom of Shi et al. (2012) were calibrated by requiring that consistent Si abundances could be obtained from different SiI IR lines, as well as from the optical lines for the Sun, and it is necessary to check whether these still hold true for giant stars. In the next section, we briefly describe the observational data used in this work. Section 3 presents our method of non-LTE calculations and the test of its validity for giants. In Section 4, we apply our method to a sample of metalpoor giant stars and compare our results with the theoretical chemical evolution models of Si. In the last section we briefly summarize our results and conclusions.

## 2. OBSERVATIONAL DATA

The sample analyzed in this work is comprised of 16 metalpoor giant program stars, as shown in Table 1. These stars were originally observed by Takeda \& Takada-Hidai $(2011,2012)$ in 2009 and 2011 for the purpose of determining sulfur (S) abundances using the S I IR triplet lines. The spectra were obtained using the IR Camera and Spectrograph (IRCS; Kobayashi et al. 2000) in combination with the 188 -element curvature-based adaptive optics system (AO188) mounted on the Subaru Telescope. With a resolution of about 20,000, the spectra cover a wavelength range of $1.01-1.19 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, including
several Si I lines that are not (severely) blended. For most of the stars, the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{Ns}$ of the spectra are higher than 100 . The spectra were reduced following the standard procedure using the "echelle" package of IRAF. ${ }^{5}$ For more details about the observation and data reduction, please refer to Takeda \& Takada-Hidai $(2011,2012)$.
As mentioned in the introduction, one of our aims is to check whether we could obtain consistent Si abundances from the IR and the optical lines in giant stars. Unfortunately, the optical Sir lines are too weak to give very accurate abundances for our sample stars. So we included another two giant stars (Arcturus and HD 83240) as benchmark stars. The optical Si I lines in these two stars are stronger compared to the 16 sample stars, which permits us to check whether abundances from the optical and the IR lines are in reasonable agreement. Additionally, these two stars have archival IR spectra with very high quality, which we could use to obtain very accurate Si abundances to investigate whether different IR lines produce consistent results. For Arcturus, the optical spectra were adopted from the Visible and Near IR Atlas of the Arcturus Spectrum $3727-9300 \AA$ by Hinkle et al. (2000), and the IR spectra were adopted from the IR Atlas of the Arcturus Spectrum, $0.9-5.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ by Hinkle et al. (1995). The typical spectral resolutions of the optical and IR spectra of Arcturus are 150,000 and 100,000, respectively. For HD 83240 , the optical spectra were adopted from the UVES-POP library (Bagnulo et al. 2003), and the IR spectra were adopted from the

[^1]Table 2
Atomic Data of the Si i Lines (Adopted from Shi et al. 2008) Used for Abundance Determination

| Line (A) | Transition | EP (eV) | $\log g f$ | $\log C_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5690.43 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{0}-5 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4.707 | -1.73 | -30.294 |
| 5701.11 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\mathrm{o}}-5 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 4.707 | -1.95 | -30.294 |
| 6142.49 | $3 \mathrm{p}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}^{0}-5 \mathrm{f}^{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ | 5.619 | -1.47 | -29.869 |
| 6145.02 | $3 \mathrm{p}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{2}^{0}-5 \mathrm{f}^{3} \mathrm{G}_{3}$ | 5.616 | -1.38 | -29.869 |
| 10288.90 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 4.920 | -1.65 | -30.661 |
| 10371.30 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 4.707 | -0.85 | -30.659 |
| 10585.17 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | 4.954 | -0.14 | -30.659 |
| 10603.45 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 4.707 | -0.34 | -30.677 |
| 10627.66 | $4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}-4 \mathrm{~d}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}^{o}$ | 5.863 | -0.39 | -30.692 |
| 10661.00 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4.920 | -0.28 | -30.687 |
| 10689.73 | $4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{1}-4 \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{o}$ | 5.954 | -0.08 | -29.964 |
| 10694.27 | $4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{o}$ | 5.964 | 0.06 | -29.944 |
| 10727.43 | $4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}-4 \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{4}^{o}$ | 5.984 | 0.25 | -29.907 |
| 10749.40 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{0}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4.707 | -0.20 | -30.689 |
| 10784.57 | $4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{o}$ | 5.964 | -0.69 | -29.965 |
| 10786.88 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 4.707 | -0.34 | -30.691 |
| 10827.10 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}^{\mathrm{o}}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 4.954 | 0.21 | -30.677 |
| 10843.87 | $4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}-4 \mathrm{~d}{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}^{o}$ | 5.863 | -0.08 | -30.145 |
| 10882.83 | $4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}-4 \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{o}$ | 5.984 | -0.66 | -29.945 |
| 10979.34 | $4 \mathrm{~s}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}^{0}-4 \mathrm{p}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | 4.954 | -0.55 | -30.688 |

Note. From left to right: wavelength, lower and upper levels of the transition, excitation potential (EP) of the lower level, oscillator strength, van der Waals damping constant.

CRIRES-POP library (Lebzelter et al. 2012). The typical spectral resolution of the optical and the IR spectra of HD 83240 are 80,000 and 96,000 , respectively.

## 3. METHOD OF NON-LTE CALCULATIONS AND VALIDITY TEST

### 3.1. Method of Non-LTE Calculations

In this work, we used the same method of non-LTE calculations as Shi et al. (2008, 2009, 2011, 2012). Here, we only give a brief description of the method. The key for nonLTE calculations is the atomic model. The Si atomic model adopted here includes 132 terms of $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}, 41$ terms of $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {iI, }}$, and the ground state of Si III. In addition to the radiative boundbound and bound-free transitions, excitation and ionization induced by inelastic collisions with electrons and hydrogen atoms were also taken into account. The atomic data of the spectral lines used for Si abundance determination are given in Table 2. The data were adopted from Shi et al. (2008), where the van der Waals damping constants were computed according to the interpolation tables of Anstee \& O'Mara (1991, 1995), and the oscillator strengths were derived by requiring the solar Si abundance $\log \left(N_{\mathrm{Si}} / N_{\mathrm{H}}\right)+12$ to be 7.5 . As for the stellar model atmosphere, we used the revised version of the opacity sampling model MAFAGS-OS (Grupp 2004; Grupp et al. 2009), which is under the one-dimensional (1D) and LTE assumption. The coupled radiative transfer and statistical equilibrium equations were solved with a revised version of the DETAIL program (Butler \& Giddings 1985). Chemical abundances were derived by spectrum synthesis using the IDL/FORTRAN-based software package Spectrum Investigation Utility (SIU) developed by Dr. J. Reetz. SIU is an
advanced software package that could perform spectrum displaying, continuum normalization, radial velocity correlation/correction, spectrum synthesis (in LTE or non-LTE based on the 1D-LTE model atmosphere MAFAGS-OS mentioned above), etc., interactively. All of the input parameters, such as the abundance for each element, macroturbulence, rotation, and instrument broadening, could be adjusted interactively, and the synthetic spectrum could be displayed on screen in real time. In most cases, the external broadening of line profiles caused by macroturbulence, rotation, and the instrument could be approximated using a simple Gaussian function.

### 3.2. Validity Test for Giants with Benchmark Stars

The above method of non-LTE calculations has been proven to be applicable for dwarf stars by Shi et al. (2012). In this section we will test whether it is also valid for giant stars.

### 3.2.1. Stellar Parameters and Uncertainties

For Arcturus, we adopted the stellar parameters $\left(T_{\text {eff }}=4281 \mathrm{~K}, \quad \log g=1.72, \quad[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.55\right.$, $\xi=1.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) from Takeda \& Takada-Hidai (2011). They were determined by Takeda et al. (2009) in a fully spectroscopic way, i.e., $T_{\text {eff }}$ from excitation equilibrium of $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}, \log g$ from ionization equilibrium between $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{II}},[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ from $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$, and $\xi$ from abundance-independence of equivalent width (EW). We noticed that Ramírez \& Allende Prieto (2011) also investigated the stellar parameters and abundances of Arcturus using high-quality data and methods that minimize model uncertainties. They determined the effective temperature using model atmosphere fits to the observed spectral energy distribution from the blue to the mid-IR, and the surface gravity using the trigonometric parallax. Their stellar parameters $\left(T_{\text {eff }}=4286 \pm 30 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.66 \pm 0.05\right.$, $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=$ $-0.52 \pm 0.04, \xi=1.74 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) are in excellent agreement with those adopted in this work. Recently, Heiter et al. (2015) presented fundamental determinations of $T_{\text {eff }}$ and $\log g$ for 34 Gaia FGK benchmark stars. Their determinations were based on the angular diameters, bolometric fluxes, distances, and masses, which are independent of spectroscopy and atmospheric models. They gave a $T_{\text {eff }}$ of $4286 \pm 35 \mathrm{~K}$ and a $\log g$ of $1.64 \pm 0.09$ for Arcturus. And the $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ of this star was determined to be $-0.52 \pm 0.08$ (after correction for non-LTE effects) in another work (Jofré et al. 2014) in the Gaia FGK benchmark stars series. These values agree well with the two sets of stellar parameters mentioned above, and the offsets between different studies are well within the typical uncertainties of stellar parameters $\left(\Delta T_{\text {eff }}=20 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \log g=0.08\right.$, $\Delta[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=0.07$, and $\Delta \xi=0.25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) given by Takeda et al. (2009). Actually, our calculations show that such differences in stellar parameters had a very small effect on the Si abundance $(\Delta[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}] \sim 0.04$ dex) .

For HD 83240, we adopted the stellar parameters ( $T_{\text {eff }}=$ $4682 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=2.45,[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.02, \xi=1.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) from Mishenina et al. (2006). The effective temperature was determined with very high accuracy ( $\sigma=10-15 \mathrm{~K}$ ) using the line depth ratios of several iron-peak elements (such as $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ti}$, $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Ni ). The surface gravity was derived using two methods, i.e., iron ionization equilibrium and wing fitting of the Ca i 6162 A line, and both methods give the same result. The metallicity was determined from $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines. We note that according to the investigation of Lind et al. (2012), non-LTE


Figure 1. Departure coefficients for the populations of seven selected levels of Si i as a function of continuum optical depth at $5000 \AA$ for Arcturus and HD 83240. The red and the blue lines represent the lower andupper levels, respectively, of the IR transitions used to determine Si abundances in this work.
effects of $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {I }}$ are negligible for cool $\left(T_{\text {eff }}<5000 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ stars with solar metallicity ( $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim 0$ ), such as HD 83240. The microturbulent velocity was calculated so that iron abundances do not depend on the EWs. Da Silva et al. (2011) gave different stellar parameters for HD $83240\left(T_{\text {eff }}=4801 \pm 89 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, $\log g=2.83 \pm 0.23, \quad[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.03 \pm 0.08, \quad \xi=1.26 \pm$ $0.09 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) based on the traditional spectroscopic method, i.e., excitation equilibrium of $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and ionization equilibrium between $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {I }}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II }}$. Their effective temperature is marginally consistent with that of Mishenina et al. (2006) considering the uncertainty, but their surface gravity shows a relatively large discrepancy. Nevertheless, the adoption of stellar parameters for HD 83240 does not affect our validity test because the differences in the Si abundance determined using the above two sets of stellar parameters is only 0.03 dex.

### 3.2.2. Non-LTE Line Formation Results

With the stellar parameters we could calculate the level populations in statistical equilibrium. Figure 1 shows the departure coefficients $b_{i}=n_{i}^{\text {non-LTE }} / n_{i}^{\text {LTE }}$ for the populations of the seven $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}}$ levels that produce the IR transitions used to determine Si abundances in this work for the two benchmark stars. The red lines represent the lower levels, while the blue lines are the upper levels. It can be seen that within $\log \tau_{5000}=-3 \ldots-2$, where the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines are formed, the departure coefficients of the lower levels (denoted with $b_{i}$ ) are higher than those of the upper levels (denoted with $b_{j}$ ). This means that the line source function $S_{i j}$ is smaller than the local Planck function $B_{\nu}(T)$ because $S_{i j} / B_{\nu}(T) \sim b_{j} / b_{i}<1$. As a result, the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines are stronger in non-LTE than in LTE,


Figure 2. Spectrum synthesis of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {I }} 10827 \AA$ line for Arcturus. The diamonds are the observed spectra; the lines are the synthetic spectra in LTE or non-LTE with different $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ (see the legend for details).
and hence the non-LTE abundance corrections should be negative.

In combination with the calculated populations for the individual levels, Si abundances were then derived via spectrum synthesis of the individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}}$ lines. We note here that, due to the enhanced absorption in the line cores in nonLTE, some of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}}$ IR lines are so strong ( $\mathrm{EW} \gtrsim 150 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) that their observed line profiles could only be reproduced in non-LTE. As an example, Figure 2 shows the spectrum synthesis of the strongest Si I IR line ( $10827 \AA$ with a EW of $318.3 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) in Arcturus. The solid line shows the best fit to the observed line profile in non-LTE with a $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ of 0.28 dex. The dotted line is produced with the same $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ but in LTE, which is much weaker in the core of the line. No matter how we adjusted the Si abundance, the observed line profile cannot be reproduced in LTE. In this case, the LTE abundance was derived by simply increasing the $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ until the observed EW was reproduced. This led to a $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ of 0.68 dex , for which the line profile is shown as a dash-dotted line in Figure 2. It can be seen that the core of the line is still much shallower, while the wing is obviously deeper compared to the observed spectra.

Table 3 gives the $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ determined from the individual optical and IR lines for the two benchmark stars. EWs for the individual lines are also given. As can be seen in Table 3, for both Arcturus and HD 83240, the optical lines are insensitive to the non-LTE effects. This is because these lines are mainly formed in the inner regions of the photospheres $\left(\log \tau_{5000}>-2\right)$, where the physical conditions are close to LTE. However, as we mentioned above, the regions of line formation for the IR lines are shifted outward to $\log \tau_{5000}=-3 \ldots-2$, where the line source function differs from the local Planck function, and thus the non-LTE effects cannot be neglected. Figure 3 shows the non-LTE correction of [ $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines as a function of EW and EP for the two benchmark stars. It can be seen that the nonLTE abundance correction varies between $\sim-0.5$ dex and $\sim-0.05$ dex. Though the non-LTE abundance correction seems to be independent of EP, it is correlated with the EW of the line. In general, stronger lines show larger non-LTE effects, while weaker lines show smaller non-LTE effects. Figure 4 shows the $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ derived from the individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{IR}$ lines as a function of EW and EP for the two benchmark stars. It is obvious that for both Arcturus and HD 83240, the LTE Si

Table 3
EWs (in mA ) and $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the Individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ Lines of the Benchmark Stars

| Line <br> ( A ) | Arcturus |  |  | HD 83240 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EW | LTE | non-LTE | EW | LTE | non-LTE |
| 5690.43 | 59.1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 63.3 | -0.09 | -0.09 |
| 5701.11 | 48.5 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 54.1 | -0.04 | -0.04 |
| 6142.49 | 31.2 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 41.4 | -0.02 | -0.02 |
| 6145.05 | 33.6 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 44.6 | -0.02 | -0.02 |
| mean |  | 0.29 | 0.29 |  | -0.04 | -0.04 |
| $\sigma$ |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 10288.90 | 82.3 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 91.8 | -0.01 | -0.07 |
| 10371.30 | 160.9 | 0.66 | 0.26 | 169.1 | 0.23 | -0.06 |
| 10585.17 | 257.1 | 0.76 | 0.30 | 279.5 | 0.31 | -0.01 |
| 10603.45 | 216.8 | 0.68 | 0.23 | 233.0 | 0.24 | -0.06 |
| 10627.66 | 102.4 | 0.59 | 0.18 | 121.0 | 0.24 | -0.11 |
| 10661.00 | 226.8 | 0.74 | 0.28 | 241.2 | 0.27 | -0.02 |
| 10689.73 | 126.5 | 0.66 | 0.30 | ... | ... | ... |
| 10694.27 | 135.0 | 0.66 | 0.26 | 162.6 | 0.26 | -0.02 |
| 10727.43 | 153.3 | 0.68 | 0.25 | 179.4 | 0.26 | -0.06 |
| 10749.40 | 237.0 | 0.68 | 0.22 | 263.1 | 0.29 | -0.03 |
| 10784.57 | 68.4 | 0.44 | 0.29 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 10786.88 | 223.0 | 0.70 | 0.24 | 236.6 | 0.26 | -0.06 |
| 10827.10 | 318.3 | 0.68 | 0.28 | 364.4 | 0.32 | -0.01 |
| 10843.87 | 141.6 | 0.72 | 0.24 | 164.2 | 0.36 | -0.07 |
| 10882.83 | 64.0 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 82.6 | 0.01 | -0.08 |
| 10979.34 | 197.7 | 0.68 | 0.25 | 207.5 | 0.24 | -0.06 |
| mean |  | 0.63 | 0.25 |  | 0.23 | -0.05 |
| $\sigma$ |  | 0.12 | 0.03 |  | 0.11 | 0.03 |



Figure 3. The non-LTE correction of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{IR}$ lines as a function of EW and EP for Arcturus and HD 83240.
abundances from the IR lines show large line-to-line scatter, but when the non-LTE effects are taken into account, the scatter reduces significantly. Moreover, the average non-LTE Si abundances from the IR lines agree well with those from the


Figure 4. $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ from the individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines as a function of EW and EP for Arcturus and HD 83240. The blue and the red are the LTE and the non-LTE results, respectively. The solid lines represent the average values, and the dotted lines indicate the $1 \sigma$ lower and upper limits for the line-to-line scatter.
optical lines, whereas the LTE results are significantly higher. In a word, our method of non-LTE calculations produces consistent Si abundances from the optical and the IR lines for the two benchmark stars.

We were aware that the two benchmark stars we selected are relatively metal-rich, and it is difficult to justify that our method works also for very metal-poor stars. Unfortunately, in the publicly available archival database, we were not able to find any very metal-poor giant star with high-quality IR spectra in the wavelength range studied in this work. However, in a previous study, Shi et al. (2012) investigated Si abundances for a well-studied very metal-poor giant HD 122563 based on the IR spectra of medium quality ( $R \sim 20,000, \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{N} \sim 100$ ). The stellar parameters they adopted $\left(T_{\text {eff }}=4600 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.5\right.$, $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-2.53$ ) are in reasonable agreement with the fundamental determinations $\quad\left(T_{\text {eff }}=4587 \pm 60 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, $\log g=1.61 \pm 0.07, \quad[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-2.64 \pm 0.22)$ of Heiter et al. (2015). The Si abundance determined from the IR spectra ( $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]_{\text {non-LTE }}=0.20 \pm 0.01$ ) agrees well with that from the 3905 and $4102 \AA$ lines ([Si/ $\mathrm{Fe}]_{\text {non-LTE }}=0.22 \pm 0.05$ ). Moreover, Jofré et al. (2015) determined Si abundance for HD 122563 using five optical lines ${ }^{6}$ by spectrum synthesis. They adopted the stellar parameters from Heiter et al. (2015), and their Si abundance ( $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]_{\text {non-LTE }}=0.28 \pm 0.09$ ) is consistent with those from Shi et al. (2012). Since we used exactly the same method as Shi et al. (2012), it is fair to say that our method works also for very metal-poor giant stars.
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## 4. APPLICATION TO METAL-POOR GIANT STARS

In this section, we applied the above method of non-LTE calculations to a sample of 16 metal-poor giant stars.

### 4.1. Stellar Parameters and Uncertainties

The narrow wavelength range of our IR spectra prevented us from determining stellar parameters for the sample stars by ourselves. So we adopted the stellar parameters from Takeda \& Takada-Hidai (2011, 2012), which were taken from nine published studies ${ }^{7}$ (see Table 1 for details). Among these studies, different methods have been employed to determine stellar parameters. For example, some studies derive $T_{\text {eff }}$ based on spectroscopic method (Fulbright 2000; Simmerer et al. 2004; Hansen \& Primas 2011), while the others are based on photometric calibrations; some studies determine $\log g$ using the parallaxes (Simmerer et al. 2004; Takada-Hidai et al. 2005; Saito et al. 2009), while the others utilize the ionization equilibrium between $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II. }}$. Therefore, it is not surprising that differences in stellar parameters exist between different studies. Even with the same method, the adoption of different calibrations or line lists by different studies may lead to different results (see the comparison of stellar parameters from different methods for the FGK stars in the Gaia-ESO survey by Smiljanic et al. 2014). In the nine studies where we took the stellar parameters, 12 out of 16 sample stars have multiple independent measurements of stellar parameters. We found that for some stars the uncertainties of stellar parameters given by the original studies cannot interpret the large differences between different studies. Therefore, for these 12 stars, we took the standard deviations of stellar parameters between different studies as the uncertainties, which are given in columns $7-10$ of Table 1 . For the 4 remaining stars (BD $+23^{\circ} 3130$, HD 13979, HD 195636, and HE 1523-0901) with only single measurements of stellar parameters, the median value of the errors of the above 12 stars was adopted.

### 4.2. Si Abundances and Uncertainties

Si abundances of the sample stars were derived by spectrum synthesis of the individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {I }}$ IR lines. Figure 5 shows the spectrum synthesis of the strong $\operatorname{Si}_{\text {I }} 10585 \AA$ line for two program stars HD 126587 and HD 166161. HD 126587 is the most metal-poor star in our sample, while HD 166161 has the highest effective temperature and surface gravity. It can be seen that for both of these two stars, the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}} 10585 \mathrm{~A}$ lines are strong enough ( $\mathrm{EW}>60 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) to be used to determine Si abundances. Table 4 gives the $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ determined from the individual Si i IR lines for the sample stars. The EW for each line is also given.

We were aware that stellar parameters for the sample stars were adopted from different studies, and the effect of inhomogeneous stellar parameters on Si abundances needs to be properly estimated. To do this, for the 12 stars with multiple determinations of stellar parameters, we calculated Si abundances from different stellar parameters and took the standard deviations as the uncertainties of Si abundances caused by stellar parameters. For the remaining four stars with only single measurements of stellar parameters, the median value of the errors ( 0.12 dex) of the above 12 stars was adopted as the
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Figure 5. Spectrum synthesis of the Si i $10585 \AA$ A line for HD 126587 and HD 166161. The diamonds are the observed spectra; the lines are the synthetic spectra in non-LTE with different $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ (see the legend for details).
uncertainties caused by stellar parameters. The total errors of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ were then calculated by adding the line-to-line scatters and the errors introduced by stellar parameters in quadrature. Table 5 gives the average [ $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] from the Si i IR lines, as well as the associated uncertainties for all the stars investigated in this work.

### 4.3. Non-LTE Effects of the Si I IR Lines

Figure 6 shows the non-LTE correction of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the individual Si I IR lines as a function of EW for the sample stars. It can be seen that the non-LTE effects are significant for all the stars. Additionally, the non-LTE abundance corrections differ from line to line; in general, stronger lines show larger nonLTE effects (up to $\sim 0.8$ dex), while weaker lines show smaller non-LTE effects (as low as $\sim 0.1$ dex). Overall, the non-LTE abundance corrections show linear correlations with the line strengths for all the stars with similar slopes. But the intercepts of the linear relationships are not necessarily the same; they are dependent on the stellar parameters, especially the surface gravity (see the discussions below). We also explored the dependency of the non-LTE effects on the stellar parameters, and the results are plotted in Figure 7. It can be seen that the non-LTE abundance corrections are all negative within the range of stellar parameters investigated. Moreover, the nonLTE abundance corrections are more sensitive to surface gravity than effective temperature and metallicity. Stars with lower surface gravity show larger non-LTE effects, while stars with higher gravity show smaller non-LTE effects. Figure 8 shows the line-to-line scatter of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the sample stars. It can be seen that, for a given star, the LTE Si abundances show relatively large line-to-line scatter. However, when the nonLTE effects are considered, the scatter reduces significantly,

Table 4
EWs (in $\mathrm{m} \AA$ ) and $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the Individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}}$ IR Lines of the 16 Metal-poor Giant Stars

| Star | 10371 | 10585 | 10603 | 10627 | 10661 | 10689 | 10694 | 10727 | 10749 | 10784 | 10786 | 10827 | 10843 | 10979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BD $+23^{\circ} 3130$ | 23.1 | 77.1 | 54.2 | $\cdots$ | 54.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 65.7 | $\cdots$ | 55.0 | 114.4 | $\cdots$ | 39.7 |
|  | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.40 | $\cdots$ | 0.38 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 0.41 | $\cdots$ | 0.40 | 0.69 | $\cdots$ | 0.37 |
|  | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.14 | $\ldots$ | 0.12 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.11 | $\ldots$ | 0.13 | 0.14 | $\ldots$ | 0.16 |
| $\mathrm{BD}-16^{\circ} 251$ | 27.5 | 84.5 | 57.2 | $\ldots$ | 60.9 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 66.7 | $\ldots$ | 62.0 | 126.7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.68 | 0.83 | 0.63 | $\cdots$ | 0.66 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 0.60 | $\ldots$ | 0.67 | 1.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.27 | $\ldots$ | 0.29 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.21 | $\cdots$ | 0.30 | 0.29 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{BD}-18^{\circ} 5550$ | 24.3 | 79.4 | 47.9 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 70.6 | $\cdots$ | 49.5 | 110.9 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 0.72 | 0.87 | 0.60 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 0.76 | ... | 0.61 | 0.88 | $\cdots$ | ... |
|  | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.26 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.34 | $\ldots$ | 0.26 | 0.26 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| HD 6268 | 59.7 | 125.4 | 86.4 | 13.8 | 98.4 | 26.3 | 35.1 | 41.4 | 107.0 | $\ldots$ | 89.9 | 158.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.39 | $\ldots$ | 0.31 | 0.57 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.04 | $\ldots$ | 0.04 | 0.00 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| HD 13979 | ... | 92.3 | 57.0 | ... | 64.1 | ... | 23.3 | 29.5 | 73.2 | $\ldots$ | 58.9 | 120.8 | $\ldots$ | 43.3 |
|  | $\ldots$ | 0.57 | 0.18 | $\ldots$ | 0.27 | $\ldots$ | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.28 | $\ldots$ | 0.19 | 0.60 | $\ldots$ | 0.14 |
|  | ... | 0.06 | -0.07 | ... | -0.01 | $\ldots$ | 0.09 | 0.04 | -0.05 | $\ldots$ | -0.07 | -0.02 | $\ldots$ | -0.04 |
| HD 108317 | 33.7 | 99.4 | 65.7 | $\ldots$ | 71.7 | ... | \% | 41.2 | 87.1 | $\cdots$ | 72.9 | 125.9 | $\cdots$ | 44.4 |
|  | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0.43 | ... | 0.49 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.58 | 0.53 | $\ldots$ | 0.49 | 0.60 | ... | 0.33 |
|  | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.19 | $\ldots$ | 0.23 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.39 | 0.23 | $\ldots$ | 0.24 | 0.12 | $\ldots$ | 0.16 |
| HD 115444 | 21.7 | 76.6 | 46.9 | $\ldots$ | 54.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 58.3 | $\ldots$ | 58.7 | 100.7 | $\ldots$ | ... |
|  | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.21 | $\ldots$ | 0.30 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.16 | $\ldots$ | 0.35 | 0.31 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.09 | 0.08 | -0.01 | ... | 0.06 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | -0.04 | ... | 0.10 | -0.09 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| HD 121135 | 115.6 | 218.9 | 172.4 | 70.2 | 186.1 | 97.4 | 114.1 | 129.6 | 194.9 | 34.9 | 185.8 | ... | 118.0 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.55 | 1.06 | 0.76 | 0.48 | 0.88 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.32 | 0.88 | $\cdots$ | 0.79 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.29 | ... | 0.36 | $\cdots$ |
| HD 126587 | $\cdots$ | 69.1 | 48.7 | $\cdots$ | 52.0 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 53.4 | $\cdots$ | 48.5 | 114.3 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\ldots$ | 0.82 | 0.70 | ... | 0.73 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 0.61 | $\ldots$ | 0.68 | 1.05 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | ... | 0.39 | 0.33 | $\ldots$ | 0.35 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.23 | $\cdots$ | 0.31 | 0.36 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| HD 166161 | 143.9 | 243.0 | 204.1 | 83.2 | 206.3 | 111.6 | 128.9 | 151.6 | 221.3 | 45.6 | 189.7 | 288.0 | 133.2 | 193.2 |
|  | 0.76 | 1.01 | 0.86 | 0.54 | 0.86 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.84 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 0.91 |
|  | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.46 |
| HD 186478 | 48.7 | 125.2 | 87.4 | ... | 96.6 | ... | ... | 45.4 | 103.4 | ... | 89.8 | 159.1 | ... | ... |
|  | 0.50 | 0.82 | 0.49 | $\ldots$ | 0.59 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.55 | 0.52 | $\ldots$ | 0.49 | 0.85 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.16 | $\ldots$ | 0.22 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.33 | 0.14 | $\cdots$ | 0.16 | 0.16 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| HD 195636 | $\cdots$ | 65.4 | 40.9 | $\cdots$ | 44.4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | $\ldots$ | 0.75 | 0.54 | ... | 0.58 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | $\ldots$ | 0.32 | 0.23 | ... | 0.26 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| HD 204543 | 100.3 | 216.3 | 165.0 | 45.5 | 181.1 | 66.5 | 73.4 | 104.0 | 173.0 | $\cdots$ | 157.9 | 237.7 | 89.2 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.43 | 1.09 | 0.69 | 0.34 | 0.86 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.58 | 0.62 | $\ldots$ | 0.56 | 0.84 | 0.58 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.06 | $\ldots$ | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.30 | $\ldots$ |
| HD 216143 | 64.4 | 145.5 | 111.6 | $\ldots$ | 121.0 | . | 47.6 | 61.2 | 131.9 | $\cdots$ | 115.4 | 183.5 | 40.2 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 0.17 | 0.46 | 0.21 | $\ldots$ | 0.31 | $\ldots$ | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.31 | ... | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.14 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.01 | 0.00 | -0.05 | $\cdots$ | 0.01 | $\cdots$ | 0.10 | 0.09 | -0.04 | $\cdots$ | -0.04 | -0.07 | 0.00 | $\cdots$ |
| HD 221170 | 83.4 | 168.9 | 126.8 | 31.7 | 134.9 | 48.6 | 57.5 | 74.1 | 155.0 | $\cdots$ | 129.8 | 201.1 | 57.1 | $\cdots$ |
|  | 0.50 | 0.94 | 0.58 | 0.37 | 0.66 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.78 | ... | 0.58 | 0.86 | 0.45 | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.21 | $\ldots$ | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.26 | $\ldots$ |
| HE 1523-0901 | 23.6 | 83.1 | 62.9 | $\ldots$ | 73.1 | . | . | . | 81.4 | $\cdots$ | 67.2 | 127.3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.56 | $\ldots$ | 0.65 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.61 | $\cdots$ | 0.59 | 0.68 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.24 | $\cdots$ | 0.31 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 0.24 | $\cdots$ | 0.26 | 0.14 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

Note. For each star, the first row is the EW; the second and the third rows are the LTE and the non-LTE [ $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ], respectively.
though for some stars it is still relatively large compared to the benchmark stars. This could be partly due to the quality of the spectra (lower resolution and lower $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ compared to the spectra of the benchmark stars) used for abundance determination. Figure 9 shows $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ as a function of effective temperature and surface gravity. The results from Shi et al. (2012), which are based on the same IR lines and the same method, are also plotted. It can be seen that $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ derived from the Sii IR lines based on the non-LTE analysis is independent of $T_{\text {eff }}$ and $\log g$.

### 4.4. Comparison with Other Studies

Shi et al. (2012) investigated the non-LTE effects of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines for 15 nearby stars. Their sample is mostly dwarf stars, and there is only one giant star (HD 122563), for which the average non-LTE correction of [ $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] is -0.26 dex. This is consistent with the results of our sample stars with similar stellar parameters.

Bergemann et al. (2013) calculated the non-LTE effects of four $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines for RSG stars with effective temperatures between 3400 and 4400 K . Unfortunately, we are not able to

Table 5
Si Abundances and Uncertainties for All the Sample Stars

| Star | [Si/Fe] |  | $\sigma_{\text {line }}$ |  | $\sigma_{\text {par }}$ | $\sigma_{\text {total }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LTE | non-LTE | LTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { non- } \\ & \text { LTE } \end{aligned}$ |  | LTE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { non- } \\ & \text { LTE } \end{aligned}$ |
| Arcturus | 0.63 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| HD 83240 | 0.23 | -0.05 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| $\mathrm{BD}+23^{\circ} 3130$ | 0.45 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| BD $-16^{\circ} 251$ | 0.72 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
| BD $-18^{\circ} 5550$ | 0.74 | 0.33 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.10 |
| HD 6268 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| HD 13979 | 0.31 | -0.01 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.13 |
| HD 108317 | 0.51 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.11 |
| HD 115444 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| HD 121135 | 0.72 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.08 |
| HD 126587 | 0.77 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.13 |
| HD 166161 | 0.75 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.15 |
| HD 186478 | 0.60 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 0.17 |
| HD 195636 | 0.62 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| HD 204543 | 0.62 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.11 |
| HD 216143 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| HD 221170 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| HE 1523-0901 | 0.60 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 |

Note. The total errors ( $\sigma_{\text {total }}$ ) were calculated by adding the line-to-line scatters $\left(\sigma_{\text {line }}\right)$ and the errors introduced by stellar parameters $\left(\sigma_{\text {par }}\right)$ in quadrature.


Figure 6. The non-LTE correction of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the individual $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{IR}$ lines as a function of EW for the 16 metal-poor giant stars.
compare our results directly with those of Bergemann et al. (2013) because the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines they investigated are different from those in this work. Nevertheless, their results also show a negative non-LTE abundance correction, though the magnitude of correction $(-0.4 \ldots-0.1 \mathrm{dex})$ is lower than our results. This should be mainly due to the fact that Bergemann et al. (2013) adopted stronger inelastic collisions with neutral hydrogen, which leads to smaller non-LTE effects.


Figure 7. The non-LTE correction of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {I }} 10603 \AA$ line as a function of stellar parameters.


Figure 8. The line-to-line scatter of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the 16 metal-poor giant stars. The diamonds and the circles are the LTE and the non-LTE results, respectively.

### 4.5. Implications for the Chemical Evolution of Si

As we mentioned in the introduction, Si abundances could be used to test the SNe and Galactic chemical evolution models. Several previous studies on stellar abundances have investigated the relationship between $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ and $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ (Fulbright 2000; Cayrel et al. 2004; Shi et al. 2009; etc.). While it is generally accepted that $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ decreases with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ from $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$ to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim 0$, the behavior of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ below $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$ is still controversial. One reason for this is the


Figure 9. $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ derived in non-LTE as a function of effective temperature and surface gravity. The circles are our results; the diamonds are the results from Shi et al. (2012).
significant scatter of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ (ranging from -0.2 to 0.9 dex ; see Figure 1 of Shi et al. 2009) below $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$. The dispersion could be due to either the cosmic scatter or the uncertainties in abundance determinations or both. Fulbright (2000) determined Si abundances in LTE for 168 stars $(-3<[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]<0)$ using 12 Si i lines between 5600 and $7100 \AA$. Their results showed a decreasing trend of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ with increasing $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$. Cayrel et al. (2004) performed abundance analysis for 35 very metal-poor stars. Their Si abundances were derived in LTE using the Si i $4102 \AA$ line. The results indicated a slightly increasing trend of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ from $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-4$ to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-2$. Shi et al. $(2009,2011)$ determined Si abundances in non-LTE for 79 stars based on $11 \mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines between 3900 and $6300 \AA$, as well as two $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{II}}$ lines $(6347 / 6371 \AA)$. Their results suggested a flat trend of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ from $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-3$ to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$, and then a decreasing trend above $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$. The trend between $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ and $[\mathrm{Fe} /$ H] derived in this work is shown in Figure 10. The results from Shi et al. (2012), which are obtained using the same IR lines and the same method, are also plotted. All the stars in our sample are giants, while most of the stars from Shi et al. (2012) are dwarfs (only one giant). It can be seen that there is no difference in $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ between giants and dwarfs in the common metallicity range. As shown in Figure 10, the combination of our results with those from Shi et al. (2012) suggests that [Si/ $\mathrm{Fe}]$ decreases with increasing $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ in general, though there seems to be a bump around $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$.

There are several Galactic chemical evolution models referring to Si in the literature (Timmes et al. 1995;


Figure 10. $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ as a function of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$. Our results are plotted as circles; the results from Shi et al. (2012) are plotted as diamonds. Open and filled symbols correspond to the LTE and the non-LTE abundances, respectively. The results for the two benchmark stars are marked with squares.

Samland 1998; Kobayashi et al. 2011; etc.). The chemical evolution calculation of Timmes et al. (1995) predicted that $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ increases with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ from $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-3$ to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-2$, and then decreases with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ until $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-0.8$. Samland (1998) predicted a constant ratio of $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ in the early Galaxy and then a decreasing trend with increasing $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ due to the contribution of SNe Ia. Kobayashi et al. (2011) presented the evolution of elements (from C to Zn ) using chemical evolution models with updated yields of asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars and core-collapse SNe. Their results showed that $[\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ gradually decreases with $[\mathrm{Fe} /$ $\mathrm{H}]$ from $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-4$ to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$. Above $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$, [Si/Fe] decreases more rapidly with increasing $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ due to the contribution of SNe Ia. Interestingly, if the effects of rotating massive stars at $Z=0$ (in addition to hypernovae, SNe II, SNe Ia, and AGB stars) are taken into account, the [ $\mathrm{Si} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] versus $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ trend that they predicted also shows a small bump around $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \sim-1$. Such bumps are also predicted for other $\alpha$-elements, such as $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Ca}$, and Ti . Therefore, our observational results are most consistent with the predictions of Kobayashi et al. (2011). However, due to the inhomogeneous stellar parameters and small number of sample stars, we are not able to make any conclusive remarks on the Galactic chemical evolution of Si .

## 5. SUMMARY

As an important $\alpha$-element, Si is believed to be mainly produced by SNe II, but it is not clear whether SNe Ia also produces some Si . Therefore, Si abundances could be used to test the SNe and Galactic chemical evolution models. Unfortunately, the optical Sii lines are very weak in very metal-poor stars, and the NUV Sii lines are either blended or very difficult to normalize. In this regard, the Si I IR lines could be better abundance indicators because they are usually much stronger than the optical lines and they suffer much less from the problem of blending or continuum normalization compared to the NUV lines. However, LTE is not a realistic approximation for the line formation of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {I }} \mathrm{IR}$ lines, so we have investigated the non-LTE effects of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines in giant stars. The main results can be summarized as follows.

1. Si abundances based on the LTE analysis of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ IR lines are overestimated (with a typical value of $\sim 0.35$ dex
for giant stars), and thus are higher than those from the optical lines, which are insensitive to the non-LTE effects. However, when our non-LTE calculations are applied, Si abundances from the optical and the IR lines are consistent.
2. The non-LTE effects of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{I}}$ infrared lines differ from line to line. In general, stronger lines show larger nonLTE effects (up to $\sim 0.8$ dex), while weaker lines show smaller non-LTE effects (as low as $\sim 0.1$ dex). Therefore, it is not surprising that Si abundances based on the LTE analysis of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {I }}$ IR lines show large line-to-line scatter (mean value of 0.13 dex ), and when our non-LTE calculations are applied, the scatter reduces significantly (mean value of 0.06 dex ).
3. The non-LTE effects of the $\mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}}$ infrared lines are dependent on stellar parameters, among which the surface gravity plays a dominant role. Giant stars show larger non-LTE effects (typical value of $\sim 0.35$ dex), while dwarf stars show smaller non-LTE effects (typical value of $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{dex}$ ).

Therefore, the Si i IR lines could be reliable abundance indicators provided that the non-LTE effects are properly taken into account. Our results are a reminder that one should be very careful when using the IR lines to determine chemical abundances under the assumption of LTE. In particular, the APOGEE/APOGEE-2 project will provide high-resolution and high $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio spectra in the $H$-band for about 400,000 stars, a project from which abundances of up to 15 chemical species could be obtained. Investigating the non-LTE effects for the $H$-band spectra lines for these elements is of great importance for improving the accuracy of abundance determinations.
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[^0]:    * Based on data collected at the Subaru Telescope, which is operated by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan; based on observations made with ESO telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programme IDs 266. D-5655(A) and 084.D-0912(A); based on observations carried out at the National Astronomical Observatories (Xinglong, China).
    4 We follow the classical notation $[A / B]=\log \left(N_{A} / N_{B}\right)_{\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}}-\log \left(N_{A} / N_{B}\right)_{\odot}$ in this work.

[^1]:    5 IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

[^2]:    6 These lines are very weak; their EWs vary between 1 and $7 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ according to Jofré et al. (2015).

[^3]:    7 It is impossible to find independent measurements of stellar parameters for all the sample stars in any single study.

